

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,089	12/15/2000	Paul C. Rentmeester	D-2685/WOD	9645
. 7.	590 06/17/2003			
The Trane Company			EXAMINER	
Patent Departm 3600 Pammel (	Creek Road	·	KOSOWSKI, ALEXANDER J	
La Crosse, WI 54601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A prilicant(s)				
1	09/738,089	RENTMEESTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander J Kosowski	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sower any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 May 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-17 and 22-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don  Attachment(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Attachment(s)	Λ	nmon/ (PTO 412) Paras Na/a)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Art Unit: 2125

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1) Claims 1-11 and 18-21 are presented for examination. The request for reconsideration dated 5/27/03 has been received. In response, a new rejection appears below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3) Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Barnum (U.S. Pat 5,331,619).

Referring to claim 6, Barnum discloses a flow control device comprising a valve and controller circuitry operatively connected to the valve to control a position of the valve in response to first condition (col. 2 lines 56-64), and a magnetically actuated sensor operatively connected to the control circuitry for detecting a magnetic field and initiating a control mode sequence in the control circuitry (col. 12 lines 15-35).

Referring to claim 7, Barnum discloses that the controller positions the valve in response to the control mode sequence being initiated (col. 2 lines 58-62 and col. 12 lines 15-35).

Referring to claim 8, Barnum discloses communications circuitry in the control circuitry wherein the communications circuitry is operatively connected to a communications bus for two-way communications (col. 2 lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2125

Referring to claim 9, Barnum discloses that the control circuitry sends a first signal to the communications circuitry in response to the initiation of the control mode sequence (col. 2 lines 62-65).

Referring to claim 10, Barnum discloses that the controller does not transmit the second signal if the controller determines that the controller has an identity (col. 9 lines 2-14, whereby each controller may be assigned an identity, and whereby a controller may not send status reports if it has a certain identity.)

Referring to claim 11, Barnum discloses that the first condition is a command from a remote controller (col. 12 lines 15-27).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claims 1-5 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnum further in view of Stege (U.S. Pat. 6,044,857).

Referring to claim 1, Barnum discloses a flow control device comprising a controllable valve (col. 2 lines 56-64), a controller operably connected to the actuator and providing control signals thereto (col. 3 lines 60-62), an external communications system operably connected to the controller and providing control signals thereto (col. 2 line 66 through col. 3 line 4), and a magnetically actuated sensor operatively connected to the controller and providing a first signal thereto in response to the movement or presence of

Art Unit: 2125

a magnetic field (col. 12 lines 24-35). However, Barnum does not explicitly teach an actuator portion operably connected to and positioning the valve.

Stege (U.S. Pat 6,044,857) teaches a flow control device comprising an actuator operably connected to a valve, the actuator being used to position the valve in response to control signals from a controller (col. 4 lines 9-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an actuator to position the valve in the device taught by Barnum since an actuator of some type is required to open or close a valve plug in a valve in response to electrical signals (Stege, col. 4 lines 9-16).

Referring to claim 2, Barnum discloses that the controller positions the actuator in response to receiving a first signal from the sensor (col. 12 lines 22-35, whereby a valve may be operated in response to a signal from the Hall sensor).

Referring to claim 3, Barnum discloses that the controller transmits a second signal on the communication system in response to receiving the first signal (col. 2 lines 62-65).

Referring to claim 4, Barnum discloses that the controller does not transmit the second signal if the controller determines that the controller has an identity (col. 9 lines 2-14, whereby each controller may be assigned an identity, and whereby a controller may not send status reports if it has a certain identity.)

Referring to claim 5, Barnum discloses that the magnetically actuated sensor is a Hall effect sensor (col. 12 lines 27-28).

Referring to claim 18, Barnum discloses a flow control device with a controller which controls a valve in response to a first condition (col. 2 lines 56-64), and a

Art Unit: 2125

magnetically actuated sensor operably connected to the controller and providing a signal to the controller in response to sensing the presence or absence of a magnetic field wherein the controller initiates a predetermined control sequence in response to the sensed presence of a magnetic field (col. 12 lines 15-35). However, Barnum does not explicitly teach that the flow control device has a housing and an actuator located within the housing.

Stege (U.S. Pat 6,044,857) teaches a flow control device comprising an actuator operably connected to a valve, the actuator being used to position the valve in response to control signals from a controller (col. 4 lines 9-16). Stege also teach that the actuator is located within a housing (Figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an actuator in a housing to position the valve in the device taught by Barnum since an actuator of some type is required to open or close a valve plug in a valve in response to electrical signals (Stege, col. 4 lines 9-16), and since a valve controller housing would allow control circuits and actuators to be securely held (Stege, col. 4 lines 5-8).

Referring to claim 19, Barnum discloses that the magnetically actuated sensor is a hall effect sensor (col. 12 lines 27-28).

6) Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnum and Stege, further in view of Deshautreaux (U.S. Pat 3,205,323).

Referring to claim 20, Barnum and Stege disclose the flow control device shown above. However, they do not explicitly teach that the magnetically actuated sensor includes a magnetically moveable object.

Art Unit: 2125

Deshautreaux discloses a magnetically actuated sensor which includes a magnetically moveable object (col. 4 lines 42-55, whereby a magnetically actuated switch may be considered a type of sensor).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include a magnetically moveable object in the magnetically actuated sensor taught by Barnum and Stege since the use of a magnetically moveable object in a sensor would allow a sensor to be actuated without physical contact (Deshautreaux, col. 1 lines 27-29), and since it would allow actuation of a switch independent of any external power supply (Deshautreaux, col. 2 lines 3-5).

Referring to claim 21, Barnum discloses that the controller includes circuitry operatively connected to and communicating with a communications bus and wherein the predetermined control sequence includes the transmission of a signal on the communications bus using the control circuitry (col. 2 lines 60-65).

#### Conclusion

- 7) Two pieces of prior art which have not been used in any office action but which examiner considers relevant have been added to the attached PTO-892.
- 8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander J Kosowski whose telephone number is 703-305-3958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, alternating Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for

Art Unit: 2125

regular communications and 703-746-7239 for After Final communications. In addition, the examiner's RightFAX number is 703-746-8370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900. J. P. Prit

Alexander J. Kosowski Patent Examiner Art Unit 2125

> LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100